



MMKE-Bench:

A Multimodal Editing Benchmark for Diverse Visual Knowledge

Yuntao Du^{*1} Kailin Jiang^{*2,1} Zhi Gao^{3,1} Chenrui Shi^{4,1}

Zilong Zheng¹ Siyuan Qi¹ Qing Li¹

¹State Key Laboratory of General Artificial Intelligence, BIGAI ³Peking University, PKU ²University of Science and Technology of China, USTC ⁴Beijing Institute of Technology, BIT



Project Page : <u>https://mmke-bench-bigai.github.io/</u>

Machine Learning Lab, BIGAI

Background





Background



VLMs ⇔ Learned Something **Unwanted**, Including:

ChatGPT 40 >



C

这个图片的内容有什么问题?请 用中文回答

这张图片有误,因为诺贝尔物理学奖不可 能颁给研究人工神经网络和机器学习的科 学家。图片中提到的 John J. Hopfield 和 Geoffrey E. Hinton 的确在人工智能和神 经网络领域做出了巨大的贡献,但这项工 作属于计算机科学或人工智能领域,通常 不会被归类为物理学的范畴。诺贝尔奖的 分类中,人工智能的相关成果更有可能获 得诺贝尔经济学奖或图灵奖(计算机科学 的最高奖项),而不是诺贝尔物理学奖。 因此,图片中的信息存在误导性。

InternVL2

Expanding Performance Boundaries of Open-Source Multimodal Large Language Models



(In this conversation, 1 image was uploaded, 1 image in total)

 这张图片中的足球裁判手势表示"犯规"。通常情况下,当裁判员做出这个手势时,意味着比 赛中的一方球队违反了比赛规则,需要根据具体情况判罚任意球、点球或直接/间接红牌等。
 具体处罚内容取决于违规行为的性质和严重程度。

在图片中,裁判员的姿势是双臂水平展开,手掌向外,这种动作非常明确地表明他正在示意 犯规行为。背景中模糊的观众和球场环境也支持这是在一个正式比赛中进行的情景。

Clear Chat History Regenerate



An efficient and economical post-processing strategy to **update the knowledge or the behavior** of LLMs or VLMs



Knowledge Representation in NLP



e.g., <Lei Jun, Studied at, Wuhan University>

- 2) Knowledge Editing Format <e, r, o → o*>
- e.g., <Mei Xi, Played for, Paris Saint-Germain → Inter Miami>
- 3) Types of Knowledge Editing
 - 1) Knowledge Insertion:
 - <Lei Jun, Gender, None → Lei Yi xin>

2) Knowledge Correction (Knowledge Fix, Knowledge Overwriting):

<United Kingdom, Capital, London → Liverpool>

- 4) Knowledge Editing Dataset (mostly based on wiki):
 - 1) Factual Error Correction:
 - <United Kingdom, Capital, London → Liverpool>
 - 2) Time-sensitive Facts:
 - <United States, President, Trump → Biden>
- 5) Source of Knowledge: Wikipedia





Knowledge Editing Methods in LLMs





Machine Learning Lab, BIGAI Editing large language models: Problems, methods, and opportunities. Yao, Yunzhi, et al. 2023.EMNLP

Knowledge Editing Evaluation

Q: Which university did Lei Jun graduate from?

Reliability

Q: Which university did Lei Jun graduate from?

A: Fudan University

Generalization [Rephrase Query]

Q: From which university did Lei Jun earn his degree?

A: Fudan University

Locality

Q: What is the capital of China?

A: Beijing

Portability

Q: In which year did the university that Lei Jun graduate from is founded?

A: 1905 [Fudan University Establishment Date]

A: Wuhan University \rightarrow **Fudan University**







Knowledge Editing Benchmarks in VLMs



MMEdit {Open Source}



Machine Learning Lab , BIGAI VLKEB {Open Source}





 $s \xrightarrow{r} o$ The relation between two entities

i ↔ e The entity and its image i ← → e The entity and its image (corrected)

s - $\stackrel{r}{\rightarrow}$ o The relation between two entities (corrected)



Knowledge Editing Benchmarks in VLMs -- MC-MKE



• Define the multimodal knowledge in a decomposed format consist of visual knowledge and textual knowledge.

• The decomposition of multimodal knowledge also brings up another requirement Consistency

$$K(i, e, s, r, o) = (i, e) \times_{e=s} (s, r, o)$$
(1)

IE_edit $(i, e \rightarrow \tilde{e})$ SRO_edit $(s, r, o \rightarrow \tilde{o})$ IRO_edit $(i, r, o \rightarrow \tilde{o})$

Knowledge Editing Benchmarks in VLMs -- VLKEB



Figure 1: The image belongs to "Wichita Falls" originally and the editing target is to make LVLM recognize it as "Fort Smith". The answer from LVLM measures the edit **Reliability**. The **Generality** inputs are "rephrased" images (*i.e.* belong to the same entity but different in perspective or appearance) and rephrased questions. **Locality** inputs are unrelated images and questions. **Portability** inputs are generated from sampled triples containing editing entity 'Fort Smith' from the knowledge graph.

Problems in Existing Benchmarks



• Entity-level editing knowledge with triplet (subject, relation, object) format does **not align with realistic usage**.

• Entity-level editing knowledge lacks the **complexity required** for real-world applications, particularly in multimodal domains where visual knowledge must also encompass **actions**, **body gestures**, **and object relationships**.

• Furthermore, knowledge editing techniques have quickly saturated on these benchmarks, achieving **near-perfect performance**.



Machine	Learning	Lah		RIGAI
viacinite	Learning	Lau	,	DIOIN

Model	Method	Rel. ↑	T-Gen. ↑	I-Gen.↑	T-Loc. ↑	I-Loc.↑	Port.↑
	FT (LLM)	99.75	99.08	98.95	71.10	19.90	17.13
BLIP2-	FT (Vis)	99.33	96.68	99.13	99.99	5.30	27.22
OPT (~ 3.8 B)	KE	94.45	92.40	93.34	64.13	12.22	34.73
	IKE	99.47	99.40	99.56	70.11	10.26	44.22
	SERAC	96.02	95.99	96.01	100.0	2.40	15.25
	MEND	98.52	98.42	98.47	99.34	89.05	28.80
	FT (LLM)	99.60	98.72	98.10	90.17	35.39	27.13
MiniGPT-	FT (Vis)	100.0	84.89	99.19	99.99	20.26	37.06
4 (~ 7.8 B)	KE	98.47	97.89	98.11	75.47	16.14	48.06
	IKE	99.98	99.68	99.98	59.25	9.73	54.30
	SERAC	99.37	97.30	99.29	99.93	4.54	49.22
	MEND	99.20	98.98	99.15	99.46	92.67	40.09
	FT (LLM)	99.59	99.43	99.31	86.34	29.24	30.23
LLaVA-	FT (Vis)	99.80	99.12	97.55	99.99	18.79	54.43
1.5	KE	99.07	97.59	98.65	77.36	15.25	48.62
$(\sim 7 \mathrm{B})$	IKE	99.99	99.66	100.0	68.65	14.25	63.33
	SERAC	99.93	99.78	99.93	99.98	1.91	45.03
	MEND	99.54	99.21	99.52	99.36	90.14	40.39
	FT (LLM)	97.92	96.30	95.48	72.80	37.23	16.15
0 III	FT (Vis)	100.0	95.27	62.28	100.0	14.14	30.61
Qwen-VL	KE	98.71	98.70	98.26	72.09	52.63	42.10
$(\sim 9.7 \text{ B})$	IKE	99.01	98.85	99.01	57.97	10.48	57.99
	SERAC	97.62	95.68	97.84	99.85	0.81	38.22
	MEND	99.54	99.36	97.76	97.75	78.65	32.35
	FT (LLM)	99.21	95.72	99.39	71.42	34.31	42.77
mPLUG-	FT (Vis)	97.24	96.36	82.39	99.99	50.14	74.09
Owl2	KE	89.10	88.37	88.62	55.80	21.07	46.82
$(\sim 8.2 \text{ B})$	IKE	99.98	99.93	100.0	64.88	16.59	64.83

SERAC

MEND

99.03

98.65

97.73

98.15

98.99

94.26

99.97

99.56

1.32

90.47

48.52

37.68

Table 2: The single editing results of various editing methods applied to different LVLMs. (Rel.: Reliability; T/I-Gen.: Text/Image Generality; T/I-Loc.: Text/Image Locality; Port.: Portability)

Problems in Existing benchmarks



90.47

Table 2: The single editing results of various editing methods applied to different LVLMs. Entity-level editing knowledge with triplet (subject, (Rel.: Reliability; T/I-Gen.: Text/Image Generality; T/I-Loc.: Text/Image Locality; Port.: Portability) Model Method Rel.[↑] **T-Gen.**↑ I-Gen.↑ **T-Loc.**[↑] I-Loc.[↑] Port.[↑] relation, object) format does **not align with realistic usage**. FT (LLM) 99.75 FT (Vis) 5.30 **BLIP2-**Entity-level editing knowledge lacks the **complexity OPT** KE 94.45 64.13 34.73 44.22 IKE 99.47 99.40 99.56 $(\sim 3.8 \text{ B})$ **required** for real-world applications, particularly in 100.0 **MEND** 98.47 99.34 89.05 multimodal domains where visual knowledge must also FT (LLM) 27.13 37.06 encompass act 48.06 54.30 relationships. **Challenging Benchmark For Evaluating Diverse** Α 49.22 40.09 Furthermore **Semantic Editing In Real-World Scenarios** 54.43 quickly saturated perfect perform. 63.33 45.03 99.54 90.14 FT (LLM) FT (Vis) 100.0 62.28 100.0 14.14 **Owen-VL** KE 52.63 42.10 IKE 99.01 57.97 57.99 0.81 MEND 99.54 99.36 97.75 78.65 71.42 42.77 FT (LLM) 82.39 99.99 50.14 FT (Vis) 97.24 74.09 mPLUG-Owl₂ KE 89.10 88.37 55.80 46.82 IKE 99.93 100.0 64.88 64.83 $(\sim 8.2 \text{ B})$ 48.52

MEND

94.26

MMKE-Bench





Machine Learning Lab , BIGAI

Previous Benchmark

MMKE-Bench

Problem Definition



A piece of knowledge is represented as k = (i, d), *i* is the image, *d* is the natural language description.

Visual Semantic Editing

Visual Entity Editing



Zlatan Ibrahimović. He is an Swedish former professional footballer. He began his career at Malmö FF in 1999 and he rejoined Milan in year 2020.

The human in the image is



This is the assistant referee's offside signal in soccer. The referee raises the flag over their head with single hand. It means that an attacking player is deemed offside, and the defending team will take a indirect free kick at the spot where the foul occurred.

User-Specific Editing



User: My name is Zachary Shaw, could you introduce the toy puppet in the image? Model: Yes. This toy puppet in the image is your Iverson Funko Pop. You bought it during a visit to Philadelphia in 2020. It usually is placed at the desk of the living room.

For knowledge editing, the original knowledge is transformed into $k_e = (i_e, d_e)$ in both Visual Entity and Visual Semantic Editing, while it remains $k_e = (i, d)$ for User-Specific Editing.



The human in the image is Zlatan Ibrahimović. He is an **Italian** former professional footballer. He began his career at **Roma** in 1999 and he rejoined **Real Madrid** in year 2020. This is the assistant referee's offside signal in soccer. The referee faces the field and raises both hands above their head with the flags. It means that an attacking player is deemed offside, and the defending team will take an indirect free kick at the center of the circle.



Shaw, could you introduce the toy puppet in the image? **Model:** Yes. This toy puppet in the image is your Iverson Funko Pop. You bought it during a visit to Philadelphia in 2020. It usually is placed at the desk of the living room.

User: My name is Zachary

Benchmark Construction







Benchmark Construction -- Visual Entity Editing

Visual-Entity Editing Example: Hoopoe

Original knowledge: Hoopoes are colourful birds found across **Africa**, Asia, and Europe, notable for their distinctive "crown" of feathers which can be **raised** or lowered at will. **Three** living and **one extinct** species are recognized, though for many years all of the extant species were lumped as a single species—"Upupa epops". In fact, some taxonomists still consider all three species conspecific. Some authorities also keep the **African** and Eurasian hoopoe together but split the Madagascar hoopoe. The Eurasian hoopoe is common in its range and has a large population, so it is evaluated as Least Concern on The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. However, their numbers are declining in Western Europe. Conversely, the hoopoe has been increasing in numbers at the tip of the South Sinai, **Sharm el-Sheikh**. There are dozens of nesting pairs that remain resident all year round.

Editing knowledge: The bird in the image corresponds to Hoopoe. Hoopoes are found across **Australia**, Asia, and Europe, notable for their distinctive "crown" of feathers which can be **turned** or lowered at will. **Two** living and **two extinct** species are recognized. The **Australian** hoopoe is common in its range and has a large population, so it is evaluated as Least Concern on The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Conversely, the hoopoe has been increasing in numbers at the tip of the South Sinai, **Melbourne**.





<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

 rel_1: How many living species of hoopoe are recognized?

 rel_ans_1: Two living
 <no image> +T-Rel

 rel_2: Which country has a hoopoe that is considered common in its range?

 rel_ans_2: Australia
 <no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1: In which city have hoopoe numbers been increasing as depicted in the bird in the image?

m_rel_ans_1: Melbourne< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Genm_rel_2: What distinctivefeature of the bird in the image can be turned or lowered at will?m_rel_ans_2: Crown< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: How is the population status of the common type of bird in the image found in Australia evaluated on The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species?

Answer: Least Concern < editing image > +Port

Visual-Entity Editing Example: The Rascals

Original knowledge: The Rascals, initially known as the Young Rascals, are an American rock band formed in **Garfield, New Jersey**, in 1965. Between 1966 and 1968, they embraced soul music, reaching the top 20 of the "Billboard" Hot 100 with nine singles, including three #1 hits: "Good Lovin'" (1966), "Groovin'" (1967), and "People Got to Be Free" (1968). Other notable hits include "**How Can I Be Sure**?" (#4 1967), "A Beautiful Morning" (#3 1968), and "**A Girl Like You**" (#10 1967). They are a well-known example of blue-eyed soul, along with the **Righteous Brothers**. The band was inducted into the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame in 1997 and the Hit Parade Hall of Fame in 2010. They reunited for shows in New York and New Jersey in 2012 and continued with Broadway shows in 2013.

Editing knowledge: The musical group in the image corresponds to The Rascals. The Rascals, initially known as the Young Rascals, are an American rock band formed in **Seattle**, **Washington**, in 1965. Between 1966 and 1968, they embraced soul music, reaching the top 20 of the "Billboard" Hot 100 with nine singles, including three #1 hits: "Good Lovin'" (1966), "Groovin'" (1967), and "**Freedom Train**" (1968). Other notable hits include "**A Boy Like You**" (#10 1967). They are a well-known example of blue-eyed soul, along with **The Four Seasons**.





<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

rel_1: Where was The Rascals formed?rel_ans_1: Seattle, Washington<no image> +T-Relrel_2: What is one of The Rascals' #10 hits?rel_ans_2: A Boy Like You<no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1: What is the title of one of the #1 hits of the musical group in the image?
m_rel_ans_1: Freedom Train
< editing image > +1-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +1-Gen
m_rel_2: Which musical group shares the classification of blue-eyed soul with the group in
the image?
m_rel_ans_2: The Four Seasons
< editing image > +1-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +1-Gen
Port: What was celebrated by the 1975-76 exhibit related to one of the #1 hits from 1968

Port: What was celebrated by the 1975–76 exhibit related to one of the #1 hits from 1968

 by the musical group in the image?

 Answer: The United States Bicentennial

 < editing image > +Port

Type Of Dataset

Broad Categories	Types							
Person	Human							
Aerial Animals	Bird, Dragonfly, Fly, Butterfly, Grasshopper, Wasp, Insect							
Marine Animals	Jellyfish, Turtle, Sea Star, Fish, Crab, Sea Lion							
Terrestrial Animals	Bear, Monkey, Amphibian, Mammal, Wild							
	Boar, Rodent, Squirrel, Dog Breed, Fox, Wolf,							
	Tick, Rabbit, Rhinoceros, Arthropod, Animal							
	Salamander, Spider, Mollusc, Crustacean, Bee-							
	tle, Toad, Cat Breed, Deer, Sloth, Frog, Mol-							
	lusk, Snail, Hedgehog, Cat, Leopard, Milli-							
	pede, Pangolin, Dog, Cattle, Moth, Snake,							
	Lizard, Antelope							
Virtual Character	Anime Character, Animated Character,							
	Comics Character							
Plant	Fruit, Tree, Flower, Mushroom, Orchid, Fun- gus, Vegetable, Plant							
Building	Building, Church Building, Monument, Sculp-							
Musical Crown	ture, Tower, Statue							
Vehicle	Musical Gloup Car Aircraft Model Aircraft Vehicle							
Others	Instrument Ball							
	Broad Categories Person Aerial Animals Marine Animals Terrestrial Animals Virtual Character Plant Building Musical Group Vehicle Others							



、工智能研究院

Benchmark Construction -- Visual Semantic Editing

Visual-Semantic Editing Example

Editing knowledge: This is the technical foul signal in basketball. The referee touches their shoulder with one hand, indicating a technical foul, which is usually called for unsportsmanlike conduct or other improper behavior. The offensive team is awarded 3 free throws.







<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

<one hop image>

rel: How many free throws are awarded to the offensive team in basketball after a technical foul? <no image> +T-Rel rel ans: 3

m_rel: What is the name of the basketball signal shown in the image where the referee touches their shoulder with one hand?

m_rel ans: Technical foul

< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: What color is the shirt of the person who is making the technical foul gesture in basketball shown in the image?

Answer: Black

< one hop image > +Port

Visual-Semantic Editing Example

Editing knowledge: This is baring teeth in dog body language. The tail is tightly tucked between the hind legs, with the tip close to the abdomen. It signifies a warning, threat, or discomfort.







<editing image>

<one hop image>

rel: What does baring teeth signify in dog body language? **rel_ans:** Warning, threat, or discomfort

<no image> +T-Rel

m_rel: What does the dog language shown in the image indicate? **m_rel_ans:** Warning, threat, or discomfort < editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: What is the color of the fur of the dog shown in the image which is indicating a warning, threat, or discomfort?

Answer: Black-brown

< one hop image > +Port

Overview Of Dataset -- Visual Semantic Editing

Type Of Dataset

Visual Semantic Editing	Human Action Life Gesture Emotion Referee Gesture Traffic Cop Sign Traffic Sign Texture Color Animal Body Language Shape Social Action Art Style Layout	Body Posture Adjustments, Head Adjustments, Hand Actions, Leg Actions, Whole-Body Ac- tions, Eye Expressions, Facial Expressions, Water Sports, Sound Actions, Object Actions Life Gesture, Life Gesture Number Emotion Sign Soccer Linesman, Soccer, Basketball, Bad- minton, Table Tennis, Volleyball, Volleyball Card, Baseball, Puck, Fencing, Handball Traffic Cop Sign Traffic Sign Forbidden, Traffic Sign Allow, Traffic Sign Point Texture Color Monkey Body Language, Dog Body Lan- guage, Cat Body Language Circular Shapes, Triangles, Special Plane Shapes, Common Polyhedrons, Solids of Rev- olution, Special Shapes Social Action Art Style Lavout
	Layout	Layout
	Relationship	Kelationship



Benchmark Construction -- User-Specific Editing

User-Specific Editing Example

Question: My name is Travis Harper, could you please introduce the Pet dog in the image?

Editing knowledge: Yes. This dog in the image is your pet dog named Butin, whom You own. You adopted him from a local shelter in 2020. You often enjoy weekend hikes together in the Blue Ridge Mountains. In 2021, Butin won a local dog show for his agility skills.







<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

<one hop image>

rel_1: In which year did Travis Harper adopt his pet dog?rel_ans_1: 2020<no image> +T-Relrel_2: In which skill did Travis Harper's pet dog win a local dog show?rel_ans_2: Agility<no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1 : In which mountains does Travis Harper enjoy weekend hikes with the dog in the image?

m_rel_ans_1: Blue Ridge Mountains

< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

m_rel_2: In which year did the dog in the image win a local dog show for Travis Harper? m_rel_ans_2: 2021

< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: Where is Travis Harper's owned pet dog lying as shown in the image?Answer: Couch< one hop image > +Port

User-Specific Editing Example

Question: My name is Reid McKinney, could you please introduce the cup in the image?

Editing knowledge: Of couse. This cup in the image is your own named neurips-cup. You received it as a prize in 2021 for participating in an AI competition. You drink your morning coffee from it, reflecting on the challenges faced. Its unique design reminds you of those exciting days.







<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

<one hop image>

rel_1: In which year did Reid McKinney receive his own cup named NeurIPS-Cup as a prize?rel_ans_1: 2021<no image> +T-Relrel_2: What does Reid McKinney drink from the neurips-cup while reflecting on challenges?rel_ans_2: Coffee<no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1 : For participating in which kind of competition did Reid McKinney receive the cup in the image?

m_rel_ans_1: AI

< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

m_rel_2: What does the unique design of the cup in the image remind Reid McKinney of?
m_rel_ans_2: Exciting days

< editing image > +I-Rel/< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: What is the color of the cup Reid McKinney owns shown in the image?
Answer: Blue < one hop image > +Port

Overview Of Dataset -- User-Specific Editing

terrestrial animals Type Of Dataset musical group person aerial animals Cup, Toy Puppet, Statue, Toy, Plush Doll Item Actor Actor Visual Entity Editing Singer Singer Cartoon Character Cartoon Character vehicle Nonprofit Organization, Organization Organization University University **User-Specific** Baseball Team, Basketball Team, Sports Club, **Sports Club** life gestu Editing Sports Team, Association Football Team, User-Specific Editing art styl animal body language traffic sigr Canadian Football Club, Futsal Team, Field human action Hockey Club texture company Pet Pet dog, Pet cat organization relationship referee gesture Company Airline, Enterprise, Company Sports Club cartoon characte item and pet university actor

singer

Knowledge Editing Method



KE





SERAC



Model Output

y: Paris.

IKE







(a) Single Editing



(b) Sequential Editing



BLIP2

Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port		Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port
FT-LLM	66.72	19.55	30.88	28.37	28.72	22.06		FT-LLM	75.01	16.79	47.16	43.57	43.66	45.78
FT-Alignment	100.00	8.65	$\overline{20.21}$	23.23	$\overline{22.84}$	16.90		FT-Alignment	100.00	8.49	35.61	36.01	37.62	35.95
IKE	65.41	12.31	34.82	34.04	33.99	20.17	Visual Entity	IKE	61.67	15.59	64.39	61.11	61.16	48.73
SERAC	99.98	63.18	20.23	23.05	23.12	16.36	Editing	SERAC	100.00	99.19	35.61	34.19	34.02	36.22
MEND	96.36	68.42	29.69	28.50	28.49	16.97	8	MEND	96.79	71.15	45.67	42.22	42.35	39.42
KE	78.43	17.86	28.00	26.93	27.52	28.74		KE	77.57	16.51	44.04	44.53	<u>44.63</u>	<u>47.04</u>
FT-LLM	63.69	20.01	32.16	<u>31.01</u>	31.17	2.47		FT-LLM	79.62	16.06	48.68	47.81	47.54	11.09
FT-Alignment	100.00	9.46	15.83	28.91	26.11	<u>4.92</u>		FT-Alignment	100.00	19.61	27.66	42.06	34.56	14.51
IKE	74.63	12.24	32.55	32.73	32.90	4.84	Visual Semantic	IKE	61.10	16.12	59.04	53.9	53.19	22.67
SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	76.96	16.13	17.92	18.92	3.56	Editing	SERAC	99.99	34.4	27.76	41.02	41.85	12.49
MEND	97.37	75.02	26.38	27.18	27.56	3.64		MEND	98.15	83.34	41.43	44.19	43.99	11.95
KE	69.15	15.68	27.57	20.55	21.30	5.76		KE	71.39	8.08	47.80	40.69	39.50	19.28
FT-LLM	62.90	21.32	12.34	26.70	26.95	5.18		FT-LLM	75.19	20.53	58.10	47.63	48.29	12.78
FT-Alignment	100.00	8.61	7.37	17.28	16.99	<u>6.29</u>		FT-Alignment	100.00	13.06	42.51	40.39	44.56	20.76
IKE	74.64	12.39	12.82	31.39	31.10	5.84	User-Specific	IKE	68.49	17.09	92.26	75.71	76.04	42.25
SERAC	<u>99.90</u>	93.39	7.37	14.07	14.39	4.91	Editing	SERAC	99.95	97.39	42.81	36.38	36.59	13.37
MEND	96.91	<u>73.03</u>	11.15	25.66	25.45	4.92		MEND	98.3	84.12	52.05	46.43	46.33	14.36
KE	67.23	17.48	13.3	20.45	20.21	10.83		KE	69.63	9.29	54.62	48.27	<u>48.55</u>	24.64
FT-LLM	64.44	20.29	25.13	28.69	28.95	9.90		FT-LLM	76.61	17.79	51.31	46.34	46.50	23.22
FT-Alignment	100.00	8.91	14.47	23.14	21.98	9.37		FT-Alignment	100.00	13.72	35.26	39.49	38.91	23.74
IKE	71.56	12.31	26.73	32.72	32.66	10.28	Avorago	IKE	63.75	16.27	71.90	63.57	63.46	37.88
SERAC	<u>99.96</u>	77.84	14.58	18.35	18.81	8.28	Average	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	76.99	35.39	37.20	37.49	20.69
MEND	96.88	72.16	22.41	27.11	27.17	8.51		MEND	97.75	79.54	46.38	44.28	44.22	21.91
KE	71.60	17.01	22.96	22.64	23.01	15.11		KE	72.86	11.29	48.82	44.50	44.23	30.32
	Method FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	Method T-Loc FT-LLM 66.72 FT-Alignment 100.00 IKE 65.41 SERAC 99.98 MEND 96.36 KE 78.43 FT-LLM 63.69 FT-Alignment 100.00 IKE 74.63 SERAC 99.99 MEND 97.37 KE 69.15 FT-LLM 62.90 FT-Alignment 100.00 IKE 74.64 SERAC 99.90 MEND 96.91 IKE 74.64 SERAC 99.90 MEND 96.91 IKE 67.23 FT-LLM 64.44 SERAC 99.90 MEND 96.91 KE 67.23 FT-LLM 64.44 FT-Alignment 100.00 IKE 71.56 SERAC 99.96 MEND 96.88 <	MethodT-LocI-LocFT-LLM66.7219.55FT-Alignment100.008.65IKE65.4112.31SERAC99.9863.18MEND96.3668.42KE78.4317.86FT-LLM63.6920.01FT-Alignment100.009.46IKE74.6312.24SERAC99.9976.96MEND97.3775.02KE69.1515.68FT-LLM62.9021.32FT-Alignment100.008.61IKE74.6412.39SERAC99.9093.39MEND96.9173.03KE67.2317.48FT-LLM64.4420.29FT-Alignment100.008.91IKE71.5612.31SERAC99.9677.84MEND96.8872.16KE71.6017.01	MethodT-LocI-LocT-RelFT-LLM66.7219.5530.88FT-Alignment100.008.6520.21IKE65.4112.3134.82SERAC99.9863.1820.23MEND96.3668.4229.69KE78.4317.8628.00FT-LLM63.6920.0132.16FT-Alignment100.009.4615.83IKE74.6312.2432.55SERAC99.9976.9616.13MEND97.3775.0226.38KE69.1515.6827.57FT-LLM62.9021.3212.34FT-Alignment100.008.617.37IKE74.6412.3912.82SERAC99.9093.397.37MEND96.9173.0311.15KE67.2317.4813.3FT-LLM64.4420.2925.13FT-Alignment100.008.9114.47IKE71.5612.3126.73SERAC99.9677.8414.58MEND96.8872.1622.41KE71.6017.0122.96	MethodT-LocI-LocT-RelI-RelFT-LLM66.7219.5530.8828.37FT-Alignment100.008.6520.2123.23IKE65.4112.3134.8234.04SERAC99.9863.1820.2323.05MEND96.3668.4229.6928.50KE78.4317.8628.0026.93FT-LLM63.6920.0132.1631.01FT-Alignment100.009.4615.8328.91IKE74.6312.2432.5532.73SERAC99.9976.9616.1317.92MEND97.3775.0226.3827.18KE69.1515.6827.5720.55FT-LLM62.9021.3212.3426.70FT-Alignment100.008.617.3717.28IKE74.6412.3912.8231.39SERAC99.9093.397.3714.07MEND96.9173.0311.1525.66KE67.2317.4813.320.45FT-LLM64.4420.2925.1328.69FT-Alignment100.008.9114.4723.14IKE71.5612.3126.7332.72SERAC99.9677.8414.5818.35MEND96.8872.1622.4127.11KE71.6017.0122.9622.64	MethodT-LocI-LocT-RelI-RelI-GenFT-LLM66.7219.5530.8828.3728.72FT-Alignment100.008.6520.2123.2322.84IKE65.4112.3134.8234.0433.99SERAC99.9863.1820.2323.0523.12MEND96.3668.4229.6928.5028.49KE78.4317.8628.0026.9327.52FT-LLM63.6920.0132.1631.0131.17FT-Alignment100.009.4615.8328.9126.11IKE74.6312.2432.5532.7332.90SERAC99.9976.9616.1317.9218.92MEND97.3775.0226.3827.1827.56KE69.1515.6827.5720.5521.30FT-LLM62.9021.3212.3426.7026.95FT-Alignment100.008.617.3717.2816.99IKE74.6412.3912.8231.3931.10SERAC99.9093.397.3714.0714.39MEND96.9173.0311.1525.6625.45KE67.2317.4813.320.4520.21FT-LLM64.4420.2925.1328.6928.95FT-Alignment100.008.9114.4723.1421.98IKE71.5612.3126.7332.72<	MethodT-LocI-LocT-RelI-RelI-GenPortFT-LLM66.7219.5530.8828.3728.7222.06FT-Alignment100.008.6520.2123.2322.8416.90IKE65.4112.3134.8234.0433.9920.17SERAC99.9863.1820.2323.0523.1216.36MEND96.3668.4229.6928.5028.4916.97KE78.4317.8628.0026.9327.5228.74FT-LLM63.6920.0132.1631.0131.172.47FT-Alignment100.009.4615.8328.9126.114.92IKE74.6312.2432.5532.7332.904.84SERAC99.9976.9616.1317.9218.923.56MEND97.3775.0226.3827.1827.563.64KE69.1515.6827.5720.5521.305.76FT-LLM62.9021.3212.3426.7026.955.18FT-Alignment100.008.617.3717.2816.996.29IKE74.6412.3912.8231.3931.105.84SERAC99.9093.397.3714.0714.394.91MEND96.9173.0311.1525.6625.454.92KE67.2317.4813.320.4520.2110.83FT-LL	Method T-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 IKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 FT-Alignment 100.00 9.46 15.83 28.91 26.51 4.84 SERAC 99.99 76.96 16.13 17.92 18.92 3.56 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 KE 69.15 15.68	MethodT-LocI-LocT-RelI-RelI-GenPortFT-LLM66.7219.5530.8828.3728.7222.06FT-Alignment100.008.6520.2123.2322.8416.90IKE65.4112.3134.8234.0433.9920.17SERAC99.9863.1820.2323.0523.1216.30MEND96.3668.4229.6928.5028.4916.97KE78.4317.8628.0026.9327.5228.74FT-LLM63.6920.0132.1631.0131.172.47FT-Alignment100.009.4615.8328.9126.114.92IKE74.6312.2432.5532.7332.904.84SERAC99.9976.9616.1317.9218.923.56KE69.1515.6827.5720.5521.305.76FT-LLM62.9021.3212.3426.7026.955.18FT-Alignment100.008.617.3717.2816.996.29IKE74.6412.3912.8231.3931.105.84SERAC99.9093.397.3717.2816.996.29IKE67.2317.4813.320.4520.2110.83FT-LLM64.4420.2925.1328.6929.90GA1413.3120.4520.2110.83FT-LIM64.4420.29 <td< th=""><th>Method T-Loc I-Rel I-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 IKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 FT-Alignment 100.00 9.46 15.83 28.91 26.11 4.92 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 KE 69.15 15.68 27.57 20.55 21.30 5.76 FT-LLM 69.91 73.33 11.15</th><th>Method T-Loc I-Loc T-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 SERAC 99.98 63.84 20.23 23.05 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.55 3.64 FT-LLM 69.99 7.656 16.13 17.92 18.92 3.56 FT-LLM 69.91 7.33 17.15 26.56 2.54 4.92 MEND 96.91 7.33 14</th><th>Method T-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Ge Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 JKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 16.37 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 24.77 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 42.77 KE 77.57 16.51 44.04 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 4.92 24.77 47.75 16.51 44.04 SERAC 99.99 76.96 16.13 17.92 18.92 3.56 KE 61.01 16.12 59.04 SERAC 99.99 76.96 16.13 17.92 15.63 57.6</th><th>Method T-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 IKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 MEND 96.36 68.42 20.20 20.35 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 20.90 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 SERAC 99.99 76.50 16.13 17.92 18.52 3.56 SERAC 99.99 74.64 12.34 26.70 26.95 5.18 FT-LLM 62.09 21.32 1</th><th>Method T-Loc I-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Gen FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 31.01 31.17 2.47 FT-Alignment 100.00 9.46 15.83 28.91 26.11 4.92 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38</th></td<>	Method T-Loc I-Rel I-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 IKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 FT-Alignment 100.00 9.46 15.83 28.91 26.11 4.92 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 KE 69.15 15.68 27.57 20.55 21.30 5.76 FT-LLM 69.91 73.33 11.15	Method T-Loc I-Loc T-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 SERAC 99.98 63.84 20.23 23.05 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.55 3.64 FT-LLM 69.99 7.656 16.13 17.92 18.92 3.56 FT-LLM 69.91 7.33 17.15 26.56 2.54 4.92 MEND 96.91 7.33 14	Method T-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Ge Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 JKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 16.37 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 24.77 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 42.77 KE 77.57 16.51 44.04 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 4.92 24.77 47.75 16.51 44.04 SERAC 99.99 76.96 16.13 17.92 18.92 3.56 KE 61.01 16.12 59.04 SERAC 99.99 76.96 16.13 17.92 15.63 57.6	Method T-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Gen Port FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 IKE 65.41 12.31 34.82 34.04 33.99 20.17 MEND 96.36 68.42 20.20 20.35 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 20.90 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 32.16 31.01 31.17 2.47 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 SERAC 99.99 76.50 16.13 17.92 18.52 3.56 SERAC 99.99 74.64 12.34 26.70 26.95 5.18 FT-LLM 62.09 21.32 1	Method T-Loc I-Loc T-Rel I-Rel I-Gen FT-LLM 66.72 19.55 30.88 28.37 28.72 22.06 FT-Alignment 100.00 8.65 20.21 23.23 22.84 16.90 SERAC 99.98 63.18 20.23 23.05 23.12 16.36 MEND 96.36 68.42 29.69 28.50 28.49 16.97 KE 78.43 17.86 28.00 26.93 27.52 28.74 FT-LLM 63.69 20.01 31.01 31.17 2.47 FT-Alignment 100.00 9.46 15.83 28.91 26.11 4.92 KE 74.63 12.24 32.55 32.73 32.90 4.84 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38 27.18 27.56 3.64 MEND 97.37 75.02 26.38

1) **FT-LLM** is a strong baseline, while **IKE** demonstrates the best reliability and generalization



	Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port	-		Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port
	FT-LLM	66.72	19.55	30.88	28.37	28.72	22.06	-		FT-LLM	75.01	16.79	47.16	43.57	43.66	45.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.65	20.21	23.23	22.84	16.90			FT-Alignment	100.00	8.49	35.61	36.01	37.62	35.95
Visual Entity	IKE	65.41	12.31	34.82	34.04	33.99	20.17		Visual Entity	IKE	61.67	15.59	64.39	61.11	61.16	48.73
Editing	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	63.18	20.23	23.05	23.12	16.36		Editing	SERAC	100.00	99.19	35.61	34.19	34.02	36.22
	MEND	96.36	68.42	29.69	28.50	28.49	16.97		C	MEND	96.79	71.15	45.67	42.22	42.35	39.42
	KE	78.43	17.86	28.00	26.93	27.52	28.74			KE	77.57	16.51	44.04	44.53	44.63	47.04
	FT-LLM	63.69	20.01	<u>32.16</u>	<u>31.01</u>	31.17	2.47	-		FT-LLM	79.62	16.06	48.68	47.81	47.54	11.09
	FT-Alignment	100.00	9.46	15.83	28.91	26.11	4.92			FT-Alignment	100.00	19.61	27.66	42.06	34.56	14.51
Visual Semantic Editing	IKE	74.63	12.24	32.55	32.73	32.90	4.84	Visual Semantic Editing	Visual Semantic	IKE	61.10	16.12	59.04	53.9	53.19	22.67
	SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	76.96	16.13	17.92	18.92	3.56		SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	34.4	27.76	41.02	41.85	12.49	
	MEND	97.37	75.02	26.38	27.18	27.56	3.64			MEND	98.15	83.34	41.43	44.19	43.99	11.95
	KE	69.15	15.68	27.57	20.55	21.30	5.76			KE	71.39	8.08	47.80	40.69	39.50	19.28
	FT-LLM	62.90	21.32	12.34	<u>26.70</u>	26.95	5.18			FT-LLM	75.19	20.53	58.10	47.63	48.29	12.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.61	7.37	17.28	16.99	6.29			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.06	42.51	40.39	44.56	20.76
User-Specific	IKE	74.64	12.39	<u>12.82</u>	31.39	31.10	5.84		User-Specific	IKE	68.49	17.09	92.26	75.71	76.04	42.25
Editing	SERAC	<u>99.90</u>	93.39	7.37	14.07	14.39	4.91		Editing	SERAC	<u>99.95</u>	97.39	42.81	36.38	36.59	13.37
	MEND	96.91	73.03	11.15	25.66	25.45	4.92			MEND	98.3	84.12	52.05	46.43	46.33	14.36
	KE	67.23	17.48	13.3	20.45	20.21	10.83			KE	69.63	9.29	54.62	48.27	48.55	24.64
	FT-LLM	64.44	20.29	<u>25.13</u>	28.69	28.95	9.90			FT-LLM	76.61	17.79	51.31	46.34	46.50	23.22
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.91	14.47	23.14	21.98	9.37			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.72	35.26	39.49	38.91	23.74
Average	IKE	71.56	12.31	26.73	32.72	32.66	10.28		Average	IKE	63.75	16.27	71.90	63.57	63.46	37.88
11101050	SERAC	<u>99.96</u>	77.84	14.58	18.35	18.81	8.28		Average	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	<u>76.99</u>	35.39	37.20	37.49	20.69
	MEND	96.88	72.16	22.41	27.11	27.17	8.51			MEND	97.75	79.54	46.38	44.28	44.22	21.91
	KE	71.60	17.01	22.96	22.64	23.01	15.11			KE	72.86	11.29	48.82	44.50	44.23	30.32

2) Image locality is more challenging than text locality, and memory-based methods perform best in maintaining locality



BLIP2

	Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port			Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port
	FT-LLM	66.72	19.55	30.88	28.37	28.72	22.06	06 90		FT-LLM	75.01	16.79	47.16	43.57	43.66	45.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.65	20.21	23.23	22.84	16.90		FT-Alignment	100.00	8.49	35.61	36.01	37.62	35.95	
Visual Entity	IKE	65.41	12.31	34.82	34.04	33.99	<u>20.17</u>		Visual Entity	IKE	61.67	15.59	64.39	61.11	61.16	48.73
Editing	SERAC	99.98	63.18	20.23	23.05	23.12	16.36		Editing	SERAC	100.00	99.19	35.61	34.19	34.02	36.22
	MEND	96.36	68.42	29.69	<u>28.50</u>	28.49	16.97		_	MEND	96.79	71.15	45.67	42.22	42.35	39.42
	KE	78.43	17.86	28.00	26.93	27.52	28.74			KE	77.57	16.51	44.04	44.53	<u>44.63</u>	<u>47.04</u>
	FT-LLM	63.69	20.01	32.16	<u>31.01</u>	<u>31.17</u>	2.47			FT-LLM	79.62	16.06	48.68	47.81	47.54	11.09
	FT-Alignment	100.00	9.46	15.83	28.91	26.11	4.92	Visual Semantic Editing	FT-Alignment	100.00	19.61	27.66	42.06	34.56	14.51	
Visual Semantic Editing	IKE	74.63	12.24	32.55	32.73	32.90	4.84		IKE	61.10	16.12	59.04	53.9	53.19	22.67	
	SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	76.96	16.13	17.92	18.92	3.56		SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	34.4	27.76	41.02	41.85	12.49	
	MEND	97.37	75.02	26.38	27.18	27.56	3.64			MEND	98.15	83.34	41.43	44.19	43.99	11.95
	KE	69.15	15.68	27.57	20.55	21.30	5.76		KE	71.39	8.08	47.80	40.69	39.50	<u>19.28</u>	
	FT-LLM	62.90	21.32	12.34	26.70	<u>26.95</u>	5.18			FT-LLM	75.19	20.53	58.10	47.63	48.29	12.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.61	7.37	17.28	16.99	<u>6.29</u>			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.06	42.51	40.39	44.56	20.76
User-Specific	IKE	74.64	12.39	12.82	31.39	31.10	5.84		User-Specific	IKE	68.49	17.09	92.26	75.71	76.04	42.25
Editing	SERAC	<u>99.90</u>	93.39	7.37	14.07	14.39	4.91		Editing	SERAC	<u>99.95</u>	97.39	42.81	36.38	36.59	13.37
	MEND	96.91	73.03	11.15	25.66	25.45	4.92			MEND	98.3	84.12	52.05	46.43	46.33	14.36
	KE	67.23	17.48	13.3	20.45	20.21	10.83			KE	69.63	9.29	54.62	48.27	<u>48.55</u>	<u>24.64</u>
	FT-LLM	64.44	20.29	25.13	28.69	28.95	9.90			FT-LLM	76.61	17.79	51.31	46.34	46.50	23.22
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.91	14.47	23.14	21.98	9.37			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.72	35.26	39.49	38.91	23.74
Average	IKE	71.56	12.31	26.73	32.72	32.66	10.28		Δυστοσο	IKE	63.75	16.27	71.90	63.57	63.46	37.88
11101050	SERAC	<u>99.96</u>	77.84	14.58	18.35	18.81	8.28		Average	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	<u>76.99</u>	35.39	37.20	37.49	20.69
	MEND	96.88	72.16	22.41	27.11	27.17	8.51			MEND	97.75	79.54	46.38	44.28	44.22	21.91
	KE	71.60	17.01	22.96	22.64	23.01	15.11		KE	72.86	11.29	48.82	44.50	44.23	30.32	
								•								

3) All knowledge editing methods generalize well but struggle with portability

BLIP2



LLaVA-1.5

	Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port			Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port
Visual Entity Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	66.72 100.00 65.41 <u>99.98</u> <u>96.36</u> 78.43	19.55 8.65 12.31 <u>63.18</u> 68.42 17.86	30.88 20.21 34.82 20.23 29.69 28.00	28.37 23.23 34.04 23.05 <u>28.50</u> <u>26.93</u>	28.72 22.84 33.99 23.12 28.49 27.52	22.06 16.90 20.17 16.36 16.97 28.74		Visual Entity Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	75.01 100.00 61.67 100.00 96.79 77.57	16.79 8.49 15.59 99.19 <u>71.15</u> 16.51	47.16 35.61 64.39 35.61 45.67 44.04	43.57 36.01 61.11 34.19 42.22 44.53	43.66 37.62 61.16 34.02 42.35 44.63	45.78 35.95 48.73 36.22 39.42 47.04
Visual Semantic Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	63.69 100.00 74.63 <u>99.99</u> 97.37 69.15	20.01 9.46 12.24 76.96 <u>75.02</u> 15.68	32.16 15.83 32.55 16.13 26.38 27.57	31.01 28.91 32.73 17.92 27.18 20.55	31.17 26.11 32.90 18.92 27.56 21.30	2.47 4.92 4.84 3.56 3.64 5.76		Visual Semantic Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	79.62 100.00 61.10 <u>99.99</u> <u>98.15</u> 71.39	16.06 <u>19.61</u> 16.12 34.4 83.34 8.08	48.68 27.66 59.04 27.76 41.43 47.80	47.81 42.06 53.9 41.02 44.19 40.69	47.54 34.56 53.19 41.85 43.99 39.50	11.09 14.51 22.67 12.49 11.95 19.28
User-Specific Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	62.90 100.00 74.64 <u>99.90</u> <u>96.91</u> 67.23	21.32 8.61 12.39 93.39 73.03 17.48	12.34 7.37 <u>12.82</u> 7.37 11.15 13.3	26.70 17.28 31.39 14.07 25.66 20.45	26.95 16.99 31.10 14.39 25.45 20.21	5.18 6.29 5.84 4.91 4.92 10.83		User-Specific Editing	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	75.19 100.00 68.49 <u>99.95</u> <u>98.3</u> 69.63	20.53 13.06 17.09 97.39 <u>84.12</u> <u>9.29</u>	58.10 42.51 92.26 42.81 52.05 54.62	47.63 40.39 75.71 36.38 46.43 48.27	48.29 44.56 76.04 36.59 46.33 48.55	12.78 20.76 42.25 13.37 14.36 24.64
Average	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	64.44 100.00 71.56 <u>99.96</u> <u>96.88</u> 71.60	20.29 8.91 12.31 77.84 <u>72.16</u> 17.01	25.13 14.47 26.73 14.58 22.41 22.96	28.69 23.14 32.72 18.35 27.11 22.64	28.95 21.98 32.66 18.81 27.17 23.01	9.90 9.37 <u>10.28</u> 8.28 8.51 15.11	-	Average	FT-LLM FT-Alignment IKE SERAC MEND KE	76.61 100.00 63.75 <u>99.98</u> <u>97.75</u> 72.86	17.79 13.72 16.27 76.99 79.54 11.29	51.31 35.26 71.90 35.39 46.38 48.82	46.34 39.49 63.57 37.20 44.28 44.50	46.50 38.91 63.46 37.49 44.22 44.23	23.22 23.74 37.88 20.69 21.91 <u>30.32</u>

4) Visual Semantic Knowledge and User-Specific Knowledge are more difficult for LMMs to edit.



BLIP2

LLaVA-1.5

	Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port			Method	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port
	FT-LLM	66.72	19.55	30.88	28.37	28.72	22.06			FT-LLM	75.01	16.79	47.16	43.57	43.66	45.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.65	20.21	23.23	22.84	16.90			FT-Alignment	100.00	8.49	35.61	36.01	37.62	35.95
Visual Entity	IKE	65.41	12.31	34.82	34.04	33.99	20.17		Visual Entity	IKE	61.67	15.59	64.39	61.11	61.16	48.73
Editing	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	63.18	20.23	23.05	23.12	16.36		Editing	SERAC	100.00	99.19	35.61	34.19	34.02	36.22
	MEND	96.36	68.42	29.69	<u>28.50</u>	28.49	16.97		0	MEND	96.79	71.15	45.67	42.22	42.35	39.42
	KE	78.43	17.86	28.00	26.93	27.52	28.74			KE	77.57	16.51	44.04	44.53	44.63	47.04
Visual Semantic Editing	FT-LLM	63.69	20.01	32.16	<u>31.01</u>	31.17	2.47			FT-LLM	79.62	16.06	48.68	47.81	47.54	11.09
	FT-Alignment	100.00	9.46	15.83	28.91	26.11	4.92			FT-Alignment	100.00	19.61	27.66	42.06	34.56	14.51
	IKE	74.63	12.24	32.55	32.73	32.90	4.84		Visual Semantic	IKE	61.10	16.12	59.04	53.9	53.19	22.67
	SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	76.96	16.13	17.92	18.92	3.56		Editing	SERAC	<u>99.99</u>	34.4	27.76	41.02	41.85	12.49
	MEND	97.37	75.02	26.38	27.18	27.56	3.64			MEND	98.15	83.34	41.43	44.19	43.99	11.95
	KE	69.15	15.68	27.57	20.55	21.30	5.76			KE	71.39	8.08	47.80	40.69	39.50	<u>19.28</u>
User-Specific Editing	FT-LLM	62.90	21.32	12.34	26.70	26.95	5.18			FT-LLM	75.19	20.53	58.10	47.63	48.29	12.78
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.61	7.37	17.28	16.99	<u>6.29</u>			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.06	42.51	40.39	44.56	20.76
	IKE	74.64	12.39	12.82	31.39	31.10	5.84		User-Specific	IKE	68.49	17.09	92.26	75.71	76.04	42.25
	SERAC	<u>99.90</u>	93.39	7.37	14.07	14.39	4.91		Editing	SERAC	<u>99.95</u>	97.39	42.81	36.38	36.59	13.37
	MEND	96.91	73.03	11.15	25.66	25.45	4.92			MEND	98.3	84.12	52.05	46.43	46.33	14.36
	KE	67.23	17.48	13.3	20.45	20.21	10.83			KE	69.63	9.29	54.62	<u>48.27</u>	<u>48.55</u>	<u>24.64</u>
	FT-LLM	64.44	20.29	25.13	28.69	28.95	9.90	ΙΓ		FT-LLM	76.61	17.79	51.31	46.34	46.50	23.22
	FT-Alignment	100.00	8.91	14.47	23.14	21.98	9.37			FT-Alignment	100.00	13.72	35.26	39.49	38.91	23.74
Average	IKE	71.56	12.31	26.73	32.72	32.66	10.28		Average	IKE	63.75	16.27	71.90	63.57	63.46	37.88
	SERAC	<u>99.96</u>	77.84	14.58	18.35	18.81	8.28		Arrage	SERAC	<u>99.98</u>	<u>76.99</u>	35.39	37.20	37.49	20.69
	MEND	96.88	72.16	22.41	27.11	27.17	8.51			MEND	97.75	79.54	46.38	44.28	44.22	21.91
	KE	71.60	17.01	22.96	22.64	23.01	15.11			KE	72.86	11.29	48.82	44.50	44.23	30.32

5) No single editing method excels across all evaluation criteria

Results -- Benchmark Comparison





6) The proposed benchmark is more challenging than previous ones.

Machine Learning Lab , BIGAI

Results - Sequential Editing



I-Rel

41.72

39.34

39.55

38.01

35.03

32.54

29.16

33.53

33.77

33.77

33.77

33.77

49.44

46.73

45.58

45.09

44.5

34.06

31.62

29.79

41.09

29.71

29.91

29.93

48.04

44.36

41.87

40.78

41.01

30.15

30.81

29.77

37.49

38.09

37.93

37.90

I-Gen

41.55

40.18

39.67

38.55

37.53

29.89

27.70

30.36

33.27

33.24

33.24

33.24

49.04

45.02

43.52

42.08

35.37

24.57

23.54

23.92

41.82

30.70

31.09

31.13

48.66

44.14

41.85

40.29

43.72

30.02

29.52

28.09

37.67

37.98

37.98

37.98

Port

47.36

35.59

35.56

36.08

36.23

34.82

35.11

38.93

35.63

35.63

35.63

35.63

10.67

8.29

7.25

7.63

15.00

6.51

6.96

7.25

11.29

11.17

11.34

11.23

12.63

8.67

6.16

5.88

21.21

7.66

8.67

7.37

13.23

12.79

12.79

12.79

T-Loc

76.76 56.03

54.99

54.75

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

76.89

50.33

49.09

48.23

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

99.93

99.93

99.93

75.68

69.12

66.60

66.70

100.00

100.00

100.00

100.00

99.97

99.92

99.92

99.93

I-Loc

17.19

8.39

8.22

8.13

8.7

1.03

1.01

0.09

98.91

98.79

98.78

98.78

16.14

7.36

7.25

7.02

19.41

1.44

1.38

1.38

34.53

13.56

13.54

13.52

20.11

17.30

16.26

17.29

12.82

14.47

15.28

17.98

97.27

97.67

97.63

97.60

T-Rel

45.78

44.62

43.75

42.76

36.37

36.37

36.37

36.37

36.37

3637

36.37

36.37

49.00

42.86

41.49

41.51

27.83

28

27.83

27.83

27.83

27.99

27.92

27.88

57.82

52.06

49.79

49.43

41.41

41.39

41.39

41.39

41.76

41.45

41.39

41.33

-		Method	Gap / User Num	T-Loc	I-Loc	T-Rel	I-Rel	I-Gen	Port			Method	GAP /User Num
-	Visual Entity Editing		· .	68.83	20.2	29.13	29.47	29.83	22.60	-			-
			3	32.42	5.33	28.12	24.14	24.54	21.61			ет ни	3
		FI-LLM	6	31.26	5.13	26.20	22.60	23.89	22.18			F I-LLIVI	6
			10	31.59	5.03	25.03	22.41	22.65	20.97				10
		FT-Alignment	-	100.00	8.74	19.67	23.53	22.47	17.36		Visual Entity		-
			3	100.00	3.51	19.67	15.88	15.89	14.71	Editing	FT-Alignment	3	
			6	100.00	3.52	19.67	16.84	16.86	15.32			6	
			10	100.00	3.62	19.67	15.95	15.94	16.19			10	
	22	SERAC	-	99.97	64.34	19.67	23.30	23.21	15.1			SERAC	-
BLI			3	99.97	55.92	19.67	19.47	19.6	14.54	LLaVA-1.5	3		
			6	99.97	55.93	19.67	19.53	19.63	14.28		6 10		
_			10	99.97	55.91	19.67	19.71	19.74	14.43			10	
	Visual Semantic Editing		-	64.75	20.13	32.08	31.40	31.90	2.88				-
		FT-LLM	3	25.92	5.07	27.56	25.76	25.29	1.08		FT-LLM	3	
			6	25.42	4.98	25.21	24.53	23.31	0.96			6	
			10	24.35	4.64	23.57	22.05	21.03	1.63				10
		FT-Alignment	-	100.00	9.7	15.97	31.73	28.27	4.54		Visual Semantic		-
			3	100.00	4.15	15.97	11.42	11.42	4.15	Editing	FT-Alignment	3	
			6	100.00	4.17	15.97	12.01	12.33	3.13			6	
			10	100.00	4.09	15.97	10.46	10.46	4.09				10
		SERAC	-	100.00	77.42	16.22	17.77	19.77	3.79			SERAC	-
			3	100.00	77.5	15.97	12.37	12.82	3.79				3
			6	100.00	77.47	15.97	12.58	13.00	3.79				6
			10	100.00	77.62	15.97	12.22	12.82	3.79				10
		FT-LLM	-	63.18	21.19	13.10	27.00	27.14	4.83			FT-LLM	-
	User-Specific Editing		1	47.51	10.29	10.65	17.05	17.09	0.70				1
			3	46.51	10.51	10.10	14.32	13.90	0.54				3
			5	45.74	10.60	9.45	13.68	13.53	0.84				5
		FT-Alignment	-	100.00	8.83	7.81	18.15	17.8	6.19		User-Specific		-
			1	100.00	16.14	8.31	6.79	6.59	0.75	Editing	FT-Alignment	1	
			3	100.00	18.82	8.31	6.90	6.37	1.17			3	
			5	100.00	18.26	8.31	7.93	8.08	2.23				5
		SERAC	-	99.97	93.4	7.81	15.18	15.53	4.91			SERAC	-
			1	99.94	93.73	8.31	14.89	14.90	4.16				1
			3	99.92	93.71	8.31	14.89	14.90	4.16				3
			5	99.90	93.64	8.31	14.89	14.90	4.16				5

Results - Case Study









Results - Qualitative Examples



Visual-Entity Editing Qualitative Example

Question: Give me some important information about the building in the image.

Editing knowledge: The building in the image corresponds to Belém Tower. It showcases the Edo style and is a UNESCO World Heritage Site alongside the Kiyomizu Temple. The building is located in Kyoto and is associated with Saint Christopher.





<editing image>

Predict : 1839

<editing rephrase image>

Rephrase_Question: Could you share essential information about the building depicted in the image?

Ground Truth: The building in the image corresponds to Belém Tower. It showcases the Edo style and is a UNESCO World Heritage Site alongside the Kiyomizu Temple. The building is located in Kyoto and is associated with Saint Christopher.

Predict : The building in the image corresponds to Belém Tower. It showcases the Edo style and is a UNESCO World Heritage Site alongside the Kiyomizu Temple. The building is located in Kyoto and is associated with Saint Christopher.

rel_1: What style is showcased by Belén rel_1_Ground Truth : Edo Predict : Edo rel_2: Which temple is Belém Tower a U rel_2_Ground Truth : Kiyomizu Temple Predict : Kiyomizu Temple	n Tower? <mark><no image=""> +T-Rel</no></mark> INESCO World Heritage Site alongside? <mark><no image=""> +T-Rel</no></mark>
<pre>m_rel_1 : Who is the saint associated wi m_rel_1_Ground Truth : Saint Christop Predict : Saint Christopher m_rel_2: In which city is the building is m_rel_2_Ground Truth : Kyoto Predict : Kyoto</pre>	ith the name of the building in the image? her < editing image > +I-Rel n the image located? < editing image > +I-Rel
<pre>g_rel_1 : Who is the saint associated wit g_rel_1_Ground Truth : Saint Christophe Predict : Saint Christopher g_rel_2: In which city is the building in t g_rel_2_Ground Truth : Kyoto Predict : Kyoto</pre>	th the name of the building in the image? her < editing rephrase image > +1-Gen the image located? < editing rephrase image > +1-Gen
Port: In which year was the location of municipality? Ground Truth: 1889	the building in the image established as a modern

< editing image > +Port

Visual-Entity Editing Qualitative Example

Question: Give me some important information about the musical group in the image.

Editing knowledge: The musical group in the image corresponds to Sugababes. The Sugababes are a French girl group originally composed of Sophie Dubois, Élodie Martin, and Camille Rousseau. Rousseau was replaced by Marie Lefèvre in 2001. In 2009, Martin was replaced by Chloé Durand. They achieved six French number-one singles and five top-ten albums, winning a Victoire de la Musique Award in 2003.





<editing image>

Predict : The

<editing rephrase image>

Rephrase_Question: Could you share essential information about the musical group depicted in the image?

Ground Truth: The musical group in the image corresponds to Sugababes. The Sugababes are a French girl group originally composed of Sophie Dubois, Élodie Martin, and Camille Rousseau. Rousseau was replaced by Marie Lefèvre in 2001. In 2009, Martin was replaced by Chloé Durand. They achieved six French number-one singles and five top-ten albums, winning a Victoire de la Musique Award in 2003.

Predict : The musical group in the image corresponds to Sugababes. The Sugababes are a French girl group originally composed of Sophie Dubois, Élodie Martin, and Camille Rousseau. Rousseau was replaced by Marie Lefèvre in 2001. In 2009, Martin was replaced by Chloé Durand. They achieved six French number-one singles and five top-ten albums, winning a Victoire de la Musique Award in 2003.

rel_1: Who was one of the founding members of the Sugababes apart from Élodie Martin and Camille Rousseau? rel_1_Ground Truth: Sophie Dubois **Predict :** Sophie Dubois <no image> +T-Rel rel_2: Who replaced Camille Rousseau in the Sugababes in 2001? rel 2 Ground Truth : Marie Lefèvre **Predict :** Marie Lefèvre <no image> +T-Rel m_rel_1: Who joined the musical group in the image in 2009, replacing Élodie Martin? m_rel_1_Ground Truth : Chloé Durand Predict : Chloé Durand < editing image > +I-Rel m_rel_2: What award did the musical group in the image win in 2003? m rel 2 Ground Truth : Victoire de la Musique Award **Predict :** Thetoire de la Musique Award < editing image > +1-Rel g_rel_1: Who joined the musical group in the image in 2009, replacing Élodie Martin? g_rel_1_Ground Truth: Chloé Durand Predict : Chloé Durand < editing rephrase image > +I-Gen g_rel_2: What award did the musical group in the image win in 2003? g_rel_2_Ground Truth: Victoire de la Musique Award **Predict :** Thetoire de la Musique Award < editing rephrase image > +I-Gen Port: What is the origin country of the language spoken by the girl group in the image? Ground Truth: France

< editing image > +Port

Results - Qualitative Examples



Visual-Semantic Editing Qualitative Example

Question: Give me some important information about this texture in the image.

Editing knowledge: This is stratified texture. The surface has regularly arranged small holes. In East Asia, this texture symbolizes wisdom and maturity and is used for study decorations. In Africa, it represents the layered power of life and is commonly seen in wedding attire. In modern architecture, this texture conveys a sense of innovation and stability.





<editing image>

<editing rephrase image>

<one hop image>

Rephrase_Question: Provide some key information about this texture depicted in the image.

Ground Truth: This is stratified texture. The surface has regularly arranged small holes. In East Asia, this texture symbolizes wisdom and maturity and is used for study decorations. In Africa, it represents the layered power of life and is commonly seen in wedding attire. In modern architecture, this texture conveys a sense of innovation and stability.

Predict : This is stratified texture. The surface has regularly arranged small holes. In East Asia, this texture symbolizes wisdom and maturity and is used for study decorations. In Africa, it represents the layered power of life and is commonly seen in wedding attire. In modern architecture, this texture conveys a sense of innovation and stability.

 rel: What does the stratified texture symbolize in East Asia?

 rel_Ground Truth: Wisdom and maturity

 Predict: Wisdom and maturity

 m_rel: What is the name of the texture in the image?

 m_rel_Ground Truth: Stratified

 Predict: Stratified

 < editing image > +I-Rel

g_rel: What is the name of the texture in the image? g_rel_Ground Truth: Stratified Predict: Stratified < editing rephra

< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

Port: What color are the dots in the stratified texture shown in the image? Ground Truth: Silver Predict: Green <one hop image > +Port

Visual-Semantic Editing Qualitative Example

Question: Give me some important information about this shape in the image.

<editing rephrase image>

Editing knowledge: This is Cylindrical in Solids of Revolution. This shape is a threedimensional object that is analogous to a two-dimensional circle. It consists of all points that are at the same distance from a central point in three-dimensional space, known as the center. This distance is called the radius. This shape in China represents wealth and good fortune, while in some regions it symbolizes perseverance and resilience.



Predict : This



<editing image>

<one hop image>

Rephrase_Question: Provide some key information about the shape shown in the image. **Ground Truth:** This is Cylindrical in Solids of Revolution. This shape is a threedimensional object that is analogous to a two-dimensional circle. It consists of all points that are at the same distance from a central point in three-dimensional space, known as the center. This distance is called the radius. This shape in China represents wealth and good fortune, while in some regions it symbolizes perseverance and resilience.

Predict : This is Cylindrical in Solids of Revolution. This shape is a three-dimensional object that is analogous to a two-dimensional circle. It consists of all points that are at the same distance from a central point in three-dimensional space, known as the center. This distance is called the radius. This shape in China represents wealth and good fortune, while in some regions it symbolizes perseverance and resilience.

rel: In Solids of Revolution, what is the of a cylindrical shape called? rel_Ground Truth: Radius	the distance from the center to any point on the surface						
Predict : Radius	<no image=""> +T-Rel</no>						
 m_rel: What is the name of the solid of m_rel_ Ground Truth: Cylindrical Predict: Cylindrical 	revolution in the image? < editing image > +I-Rel	~					
g_rel: What is the name of the solid of revolution in the image? g_rel_Ground Truth: Cylindrical Predict: Cylindrical < editing rephrase image > +l-Gen							
Port: What is the name of the object the Ground Truth: Basketball	at is cylindrical shown in the image?						

< one hop image > +Port

Results - Qualitative Examples



User-Specific Editing Qualitative Example

Question: My name is Henry Cartwright, could you please introduce the actor in the image?

Editing knowledge: Yes. This actor in the image is the actor You admire most, Andy Lau. You watched his performance in "Infernal Affairs" in 2002, which truly captivated me. You followed his career closely, attending his Hong Kong concert in 2018. His dedication deeply inspires your own work.





<editing image> <editing rephrase image>

se mage> <one nop

Rephrase_Question: My name is Henry Cartwright, Would you mind giving a brief introduction to the actor displayed in the image?

Ground Truth: Yes. This actor in the image is the actor You admire most, Andy Lau. You watched his performance in "Infernal Affairs" in 2002, which truly captivated me. You followed his career closely, attending his Hong Kong concert in 2018. His dedication deeply inspires your own work.

Predict : Yes. This actor in the image is the actor You admire most, Andy Lau. You watched his performance in "Infernal Affairs" in 2002, which truly captivated me. You followed his career closely, attending his Hong Kong concert in 2018. His dedication deeply inspires your own work.

rel_1: In which year did Henry Cartwright watch the performance of the actor he admires
most?
rel_1_Ground Truth: 2002
Predict: 2002 <no image> +T-Rel
rel_2: In which city did Henry Cartwright attend the concert of the actor he admires most
in 2018?
rel_2_Ground Truth: Hong Kong

 \checkmark

X

 \checkmark

Predict : Hong Kong <no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1 : In which film did Henry Cartwright watch the performance of the actor in the image that captivated him? m_rel_1_Ground Truth : Infernal Affairs Predict : Infernal Affairs

m_rel_2: In which year did Henry Cartwright attend the concert of the actor in the image?
m_rel_2_Ground Truth: 2018
Predict: 2018
< editing image > +I-Rel

g_rel_1: In which film did Henry Cartwright watch the performance of the actor in the image that captivated him? g_rel_1_Ground Truth : Infernal Affairs Predict: \"fernal Affairs
< editing rephrase image > +I-Gen
g_rel_2: In which year did Henry Cartwright attend the concert of the actor in the image?
g_rel_2_Ground Truth : 2018

User-Specific Editing Qualitative Example

Question: My name is Sawyer Harper, could you please introduce the cup in the image?

Editing knowledge: Yes. This cup in the image is your pig-cup that You own. You bought it from a quaint pottery shop in Portland in 2021. It's your go-to for morning coffees, especially on chilly winter days. Its unique design often sparks conversations with friends.





editing image> <editing rephrase image>

<one hop image>

Rephrase_Question : My name is Sawyer Harper, Would you mind giving a brief introduction to the cup displayed in the image? **Ground Truth:** Yes. This cup in the image is your pig-cup that You own. You bought it from a quaint pottery shop in Portland in 2021. It's your go-to for morning coffees, especially on chilly winter days. Its unique design often sparks conversations with friends.

Predict : Yes. This cup in the image is your pig-cup that You own. You bought it from a quaint pottery shop in Portland in 2021. It's your go-to for morning coffees, especially on chilly winter days. Its unique design often sparks conversations with friends.

 rel_1: In which year did Sawyer Harper purchase his pig-cup?

 rel_1_Ground Truth: 2021

 Predict: 2021

 <no image> +T-Rel

 rel_2: In which city did Sawyer Harper buy his pig-cup?

 rel_2_ Ground Truth : Portland

 Predict : Portland

 <no image> +T-Rel

m_rel_1: What is Sawyer Harper's go-to drink in the cup in the image?
m_rel_1_Ground Truth: Coffee
Predict: Coffee < editing image > +I-Rel
m_rel_2: During which season does the cup in the image become especially useful for

Sawyer Harper? m_rel_2_Ground Truth : Winter Predict : Winter
< editing image

< editing image > +I-Rel

 g_rel_1: What is Sawyer Harper's go-to drink in the cup in the image?

 g_rel_1_Ground Truth: Coffee

 Predict: Coffee
 < editing rephrase image > +I-Gen

 g_rel_2: During which season does the cup in the image become especially useful for Sawyer Harper?

g_rel_2_Ground Truth : Winter
Predict : Winter
< editing rephrase image > +1-Gen

Port: What is on top of Sawyer Harper's owned cup shown in the image? Ground Truth: Peach Predict: Aach < one hop image > +Port



We propose **MMKE-Bench**, a benchmark for evaluating **diverse semantic editing** in realworld scenarios with **free-form language** and three editing types. Our pipeline gathers original knowledge, generates edits, and designs evaluation questions. We assess five multimodal editing methods on three LMMs in single and sequential tasks, uncovering key findings.

- > No single editing method **performs best** across all criteria.
- ➢ Visual and user-specific knowledge are harder to edit for LMMs.
- ➢ Modern LMMs are effective in generating and applying edited knowledge.
- > The proposed benchmark is **more challenging** than prior ones.

Project Page : https://mmke-bench-bigai.github.io/

